A Distributed and Co-Operative Approach To Botnet Detection Using Gossip Protocol

Manoj Rameshchandra Thakur Computer Science Department, VJTI, Mumbai, India Email: manoj.thakur66@gmail.com

Keywords - Gossip list, gossip protocol, stand-alone agent, suspicion matrix, suspicion vector.

Date of Submission: June 10, 2012	Date Revised: July 01, 2	012	Date o	f Acceptance	e: July	06,2	2012
	tha	Sacondary	Immuno	Dognongog	from	tha	Drimor

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Related Work

A number of approaches for intrusion detection have been suggested in the past. Most of these approaches can be categorized into two categories: Artificial Immune System and Soft Computing. A brief overview of these two approaches is as follows:

Approaches based on Artificial Immune System are inspired by the human immune system. [11] introduces the first lightweight intrusion detection systems based on AIS (Artificial Immune System). [12][13] present intrusion detection system based on 'Danger Theory'. Most of the suggested AIS based Intrusion detection Systems use one of the following algorithms: negative selection algorithm, clonal selection algorithm, artificial immune network, danger theory inspired algorithms and dendritic cell algorithms [14]. [16] focuses on specifically static clonal selection with a negative selection operator. [15] presents a technique to detect anomaly in electromagnetic signals in a complex electromagnetic environment, similar to the complex world wide web.[17] presents an approach to solve the problems with existing intrusion detection systems using autonomous agents. [19] presents a genetic classifierbased intrusion detection system. [18] presents an analogy between the human immune system and the intrusion detection system. It also uses genetic operators like selection, cloning, crossover and mutation to evolve the Secondary Immune Responses from the Primary Immune Response.

The problem of anomaly detection in a system is characterized by lack of exactness and inconsistency. This has encouraged a number of attempts towards intrusion detection based on "Soft Computing" [20] [21]. "Soft Computing" techniques attempt to evolve and approximate solutions to inexact the computationally-hard task of detecting abnormal patterns corresponding to an intrusion. [22] applies a combination of protocol analysis and pattern matching approach for intrusion detection.[23] proposes an approach towards intrusion detection by analyzing the system activity for similarity with the normal flow of system activities using classification trees. [24] proposes a Soft Computing based approach towards intrusion detection using a fuzzy rule based system. [25] presents an intrusion detection system based on machine learning techniques. [6] presents a proactive detection and prevention technique for intrusions in a Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANET). [7] suggests a multi-dimensional approach towards intrusion detection which is heuristic in nature and is primarily inspired by earlier works based on soft computing techniques.

Apart from the works mentioned above [10] suggests a distributed technique based on a standalone and network algorithm for botnet detection. This approach is instrumental in detecting and combating bots that operate in a distributed manner. [9] suggests a hybrid approach based on soft computing and artificial immune system. [6] suggests a minor modifications to the existing

AODV routing protocol to prevent DDoS attacks in an ad hoc wireless network. The suggested approach in [6] shifts the responsibility of monitoring a node of the network to its neighboring node.

The problem of detecting abnormalities and faults in a distributed computing infrastructure is similar to detecting compromised hosts i.e. bots in a given network. Fault tolerant systems successfully employ techniques like state machine replication and gossip protocols to detect failures in their infrastructure [1] [2]. Inspired by the use of such techniques for failure detection and the similarity of the problem with intrusion and abnormality detection, a number of works based on these techniques have been proposed. [8] proposes a novel approach towards intrusion detection based on state machine replication, a commonly used technique for failure detection in fault tolerant systems. In this paper, we propose a technique for botnet detection that exploits the convergent consistency of the gossip protocol to propagate the information related to the abnormality and hence the compromised nature of nodes (bots) in a given network. A detailed explanation of the suggested technique is presented in the following sections of the paper.

1.2 The Gossip protocol:

protocol Gossip is а computer-to-computer communication protocol inspired by the form of gossip seen in social networks [3]. A number of modern distributed systems employ gossip protocols to solve problems that might be difficult to solve in other ways, primarily because of the distributed nature of the problem. In a gossip protocol, every member of the distributed infrastructure selects a peer at random and shares information specific to the system employing the gossip protocol. For example, in case of fault tolerant systems using the gossip protocol for failure detection, this information contains heartbeat data received by a node from other nodes. The rationale behind using the Gossip protocol is as follows [4] [5]:

- 1) Gossip protocol provides robust spread of information, any information related to a particular node of a network can be shared across all the nodes of the network very quickly. The time complexity involved in propagating a piece of information among all the node of a network consisting of n nodes, assuming that each node gossips with x nodes at a time is roughly $O(log_x n)$.
- 2) Reliable communication is not a pre-requisite for effective functioning of the gossip protocol.

The approach suggested for detecting botnets in a network is inspired by the GEMS: Gossip-Enabled Monitoring Service for Scalable Heterogeneous Distributed Systems suggested in [2]. The technique used for information exchange between nodes and the procedure used to maintain the updated copy of the information is roughly the same in both the works. However the approach suggested in this paper differs from [2] in the following aspects:

- 1) The approach suggested in [2] is used for monitoring the health of the system. Whereas the approach suggested in this paper is primarily for botnet detection in a given network.
- 2) The nodes of the network in [2] exchange heartbeat information among gossip peers. Whereas in the suggested approach, gossip peers exchange suspicion values calculated by the stand-alone agents at each node.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 explains the architecture of the suggested system. Section 3 explains the procedure followed as a part of the gossip protocol in the suggested system followed by the explanation of how confidentiality and integrity is maintained in the suggested system in Section 4. Section 5 summarizes the suggested system followed by the references.

ARCHITECTURE

The suggested system to detect botnets in a given network is designed as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1 Architecture of the suggested Gossip protocol based system

Each node in the network runs a *stand-alone agent*. The *stand-alone agent* performs the following functions:

 Perform analysis of network and system usage statistics of the node on which it runs. This analysis is based on the stand alone algorithm suggested in [10]. The *stand-alone agent* executes the stand alone algorithm after regular intervals and generates a normalized suspicion value (a value between 0 and 5), which it shares with the randomly selected node as a part of the gossip protocol.

The parameters used by the stand-alone agent to infer the suspicion value are as follows:

- a. Response time
- b. IP addresses
- c. Network traffic pattern
- d. Ports used for communication
- e. Output to input traffic ratio

Stand-alone algorithm suggested in [10] includes a detailed explanation of the above parameters and how the suspicion value is calculated based on these parameters.

 Maintain and periodically update its own gossip list, suspicion vector and suspicion matrix. The detailed explanation of how this is achieved is presented in Sections 3.

The suggested approach is characterized by the following parameters:

- 1) T_{gossip} : the time interval between two consecutive gossip messages sent out by a node.
- 2) $T_{cleanup}$: the interval between the suspicion information was last received for a particular node and the time it is suspected to be compromised.
- 3) $T_{consensus}$: time determining how quickly failures are detected and consensus is reached about the compromised nature of a node.

Each node maintains the following data structures to determine whether a given node in the network is compromised or not:

- Gossip list: a vector containing the number of T intervals since the last gossip packet was received for each node. Thus the lower the value of an index *i*, in the gossip list of a node, the more recently the node had exchanged a gossip packet with the node corresponding to the index *i*.
- Suspicion vector: a vector constructed such that the ith element of the vector corresponds to the suspicion value inferred by the stand-alone agent of the ith node in the network.
- 3) Suspicion matrix: an n x n matrix constructed by combining the suspicion vectors from each of the n nodes in the network.

1. THE GOSSIP

Each node, after T_{gossip} seconds, selects a peer node from the network at random and exchanges a gossip packet which contains its gossip list and its suspicion vector. The index *i* in the suspicion vector correspond to the node itself and contain the suspicion value inferred by the stand-alone agent of the node itself. Upon reception of a gossip packet, each node updates its suspicion vector based on its own gossip list and the gossip list received from the gossip peer. An illustration of how a node updates its suspicion vector to reflect the latest suspicion value is as follows: Consider four nodes of a network A, B, C and D. The gossip list, suspicion vector for the nodes A, B and C nodes is follows:

Gossip list [GL]:

А	NA	10	13	10	
В	12	NA	15	2	
С	20	2	NA	6	

Suspicion vector [SV]:



Consider that node A first gossips with node C and receives its gossip list and suspicion vector as shown above. After T_{gossip} node A gossips with node B and receives it's gossip list and suspicion vector as shown above. Now initially, before these two interactions node A inferred the suspicion value of node D as 2.8. To infer the latest suspicion value of node D, node A first compares the *i*th entry corresponding to node D in the gossip list of each of the nodes B and C. Since $GL_B[4] < GL_C[4]$ and $GL_A[4] > GL_C[4] > GL_B[4]$ node B has seen the most latest suspicion value for node D, hence node A infers that $SV_B[4]$ is the latest suspicion value for node D.

Thus every node in the network infers its suspicion about a particular node N in the network based on the suspicion value received from the node that has most recently interacted with N. The advantage of using the gossip approach to propagate the suspicion values is that, if a node is compromised in a network and if one of the nodes in the network detects the compromised nature of that node, the time required to propagate this information throughout the network to all the nodes is considerably lesser. Moreover since the gossip based approach is distributed in nature, the system doesn't suffer from a single point of failure.

2. CONFIDENTIALITY AND INTEGRITY OF THE GOSSIP PACKETS

Confidentiality and integrity of the gossip packets mentioned in section 2 plays an important role in the proper functioning of the suggested system. The use of the gossip protocol ensures eventual consistency for the suspicion value of a node, even in case of an un-reliable communication medium. However if the gossip packets, carrying the gossip list and suspicion vectors, are modified by an unauthorized illegal third party, it would lead to false positives or an undetected bot activities.

Confidentiality and integrity of the gossip packets exchanged between the nodes of a network can be ensured by fragmenting and transforming the gossip packets before transmitting them as suggested in [26]. [27] proposes a similar approach towards secure data transfer over a network using the concept of jigsaw *puzzle*. [28] [29] suggest another approach based on the LSB data hiding technique. [30] discusses different steganography techniques that can be applied for ensuring confidentiality and integrity of the gossip packets. In case of the deployment of the suggested system in an ad-hoc mobile network, routing techniques mentioned in [31] can be used for propagating the gossip packets among the nodes of the network. In order to ensure that the gossip packet is exchanged with a legitimate authorized node of the network we use the technique suggested in [32].

3. CONCLUSION

In conclusion the suggested approach is instrumental in providing robust spread of information related to compromised hosts in a given network. The use of the gossip protocol provides the advantage of achieving convergent consistency even in the presence of an unreliable underlying communication medium. The suggested use of suspicion vectors and gossip list ensures that each of the stand-alone agents work with the latest suspicion values corresponding to the other nodes of the network.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Denver Dash, Branislav Kveton, John Mark Agosta, Eve Schooler, Jaideep Chandrashekar, Abraham Bachrach and Alex Newman. "When gossip is good: Distributed probabilistic inference for detection of slow network intrusions", *In Proceedings of the Twenty-First National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, 2006, pp. 1115–1122.
- [2]. Rajagopal Subramaniyan, Pirabhu Raman, Alan D. George, and Matthew Radlinski, "GEMS: Gossip-Enabled Monitoring Service for Scalable Heterogeneous Distributed Systems," *Cluster Computing Journal, Vol. 9, No. 1*, Jan 2006, pp. 101–120.
- [3]. Gossip protocol [Online], available, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gossip_protocol
- [4]. Apache Cassandra 0.8 Documentation [Online], available,http://www.datastax.com/docs/0.8/cluster_ architecture/gossip.
- [5]. Using Gossip Protocols for Failure Detection, Monitoring, Messaging and Other Good Things [Online], available,http://highscalability.com/blog/2011/11/14/ using-gossip-protocols-for-failure-detectionmonitoring-mess.html
- [6]. Dhaval Gada, Rajat Gogri, Punit Rathod, Zalak

Dedhia, Nirali Mody, Sugata Sanyal and Ajith Abraham, "A Distributed Security Scheme for Ad Hoc Networks", *ACM Crossroads, Special Issue on Computer Security. Volume 11, No. 1,* September, 2004, pp. 1-17.

- [7]. Manoj Rameshchandra Thakur, Sugata Sanyal; "A Multi Dimensional Approach Towards Intrusion Detection System", *International Journal of Computer Applications, Published by Foundation of Computer Science, Vol. 48, No. 5, June, 2012, doi>* 10.5120/7347-0236, pp. 34-41.
- [8]. Manoj Rameshchandra Thakur, Sugata Sanyal; "A PAXOS based State Machine Replication System for Anomaly Detection"; *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research (IJSTR); Vol. 1, Issue 6,* July, 2012; ISSN: 2277-8616. [In Press]
- [9]. Sugata Sanyal, Manoj Rameshchandra Thakur, Harshavardhan Kayarkar; "A Hybrid Approach towards Intrusion Detection Based on Artificial Immune System and Soft Computing"; *International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Management* (*IJESM*); *Executive Editor: Jai Paul Dudeja; Vol. 2, Issue 2*, July-December, 2012; ISSN: 2231-3273.
- [10].Manoj Rameshchandra Thakur, Divye Raj Khilnani, Kushagra Gupta, Sandeep Jain, Vineet Agarwal, Suneeta Sane, Sugata Sanyal, Prabhakar S. Dhekne; "Detection and Prevention of Botnets and malware in an enterprise network"; *International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Computing; Vol.5, Nos. 2*, 2012, pp. 144-153.
- [11]. Steven A. Hofmeyr and S. Forrest, (2000),
- [12]."Architecture for an Artificial Immune System", *Journal of Evolutionary Computation*, vol.8, No.4, Publisher: MIT Press, pp.443-473.
- [13]. Uwe Aickelin, Peter Bentley, Steve Cayzer, Jungwon Kim, Julie McLeod, "Danger theory: The link between AIS and IDS", 2nd International Conference in Artificial Immune Systems, vol. 2787, Edinburgh, UK, Publisher: Springer.147–155.
- [14]. Polly Matzinger, "The danger model: a renewed sense of self", *Journal of Science*, Vol. 296, No. 5566, 2002, pp. 301–305.
- [15]. Dipankar Dasgupta, Senhua Yu, Fernando Nino, "Recent Advances in Artificial Immune Systems: Models and Applications", *Applied Soft Computing, Vol. 11, Issue 2*, March, 2011, pp.1574-1587.
- [16]. MA Jie, SHI Ying-chun, ZHONG Zi-fa, LIU Xiang, "An Anomalistic Electromagnetism Signal Detection Model Based on Artificial Immune System", 2010
- [17].International Conference on Communications and Intelligence Information Security (ICCIIS), NanNing, China, Oct. 13-14, 2010, pp.256-260.
- [18]. Jungwon Kim, Peter J. Bentley, "Towards an AIS for Network Intrusion Detection: An Investigation of Dynamic Clonal Selection", *The Congress on*

Evolutionary Computation, 2002, Vol. 2, pp. 1015-1020.

- [19]. Jai Sundar Balasubramaniyan, Jose Omar Garcia-Fernandez, David Isaco, Eugene Spaord, Diego Zamboni, "An architecture for intrusion detection using autonomous agents", *Proceedings of 14th Annual Computer Security Applications Conference* (ACSAC'98), December 7–11 1998, pp. 13–24.
- [20]. Divyata Dal, Siby Abraham, Ajith Abraham, Sugata Sanyal and Mukund Sanglikar, "Evolution induced Secondary Immunity: An Artificial Immune System based Intrusion Detection System", 7th International Conference on Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications (CISIM'08), June 26-28, 2008, pp. 61-66.
- [21].Dipankar Dasgupta, and Fabio A. Gonzalez, "An intelligent decision support system for intrusion detection and response", Proceedings of International Workshop on Mathematical Methods, Models and Architecture for Computer Networks Security, Vol. 2052, Publisher: Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, pp. 1-14, 2001.
- [22].Soft Computing [Online]. Available: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soft_computing
- [23]. Chet Langin, Shahram Rahimi, "Soft computing in

intrusion detection: the state of the art", *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, *Vol.1, No. 2*, pp. 133-145.

- [24]. Tarek Abbes, Adel Bouhoula and Michael Rusinowitch, "Protocol analysis in intrusion detection using decision tree", *Proceedings of International Conference on Information Technology: Coding and Computing, Vol. 1, 5-7* April 2004, pp. 404–408.
- [25]. Evgeniya Nikolova, Veselina Jecheva, "Some similarity coefficients and application of data mining techniques to the anomaly-based IDS", *Telecommunication Systems*, December, 2010, Publisher: Springer Netherlands, pp. 1-9.
- [26]. Ajith Abraham, Ravi Jain, Sugata Sanyal, Sang Yong Han, "SCIDS: A Soft Computing Intrusion Detection System", 6th International Workshop on Distributed Computing (IWDC 2004), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 3326, Publisher: Springer Berlin / Heidelberg Germany, pp. 252-257.
- [27]. Vegard Engen, "Machine Learning for Network Based Intrusion Detection: An Investigation into Discrepancies in Findings with the KDD Cup '99 Data Set and Multi-Objective Evolution of Neural Network Classier Ensembles for Imbalanced Data", *PhD thesis, School of Design, Engineering and Computing, Bournemouth University*, 2010, pp.1-240.
- [28].R. Vasudevan and Sugata Sanyal, "A Novel Multipath Approach to Security in Mobile and Ad

Hoc Networks (MANETs)", *Proceedings of International Conference on Computers and Devices for Communication (CODEC'04)*, Kolkata, India, 2004, pp.1-4.

- [29].R. A. Vasudevan, A. Abraham, S. Sanyal and D. P. Agrawal, "Jigsaw-based Secure Data Transfer over Computer Networks", *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Information Technology: Coding and Computing (ITCC '04)*, *Vol. 1*, April, 2004, Las Vegas, Nevada, pp. 2-6.
- [30].Sandipan Dey, Ajith Abraham and Sugata Sanyal "An LSB Data Hiding Technique Using Prime Numbers", *Third International Symposium on Information Assurance and Security*, Manchester, United Kingdom, IEEE Computer Society press, USA, August 29-31, 2007, pp. 101-106.
- [31]. Sandipan Dey, Ajith Abraham and Sugata Sanyal "An LSB Data Hiding Technique Using Natural Numbers", *IEEE Third International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing(IIHMSP 2007)*, IEEE Computer Society press, Nov 26-28, 2007, Kaohsiung City, Taiwan, USA, pp. 473-476.
- [32].Soumyendu Das, Subhendu Das, Bijoy Bandyopadhyay and Sugata Sanyal, "Steganography and Steganalysis: Different Approaches", International Journal of Computers, *Information Technology and Engineering (IJCITAE)*, Vol. 2, No 1, June, 2008, Serial Publications, pp. 1-11.
- [33].Bhavyesh Divecha, Ajith Abraham, Crina Grosan and Sugata Sanyal, "Analysis of Dynamic Source Routing and Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Protocols for Different Mobility models", *First Asia International Conference on Modeling and Simulation*, 27-30 March, 2007, Phuket, Thailand. Publisher: IEEE Press, pp. 224-229.
- [34]. Ayu Tiwari, Sudip Sanyal, Ajith Abraham, Svein Johan Knapskog and Sugata Sanyal, "A Multifactor Security Protocol for Wireless Payment-Secure Web Authentication Using Mobile Devices", *IADIS International Conference Applied Computing*, 2007, pp.160-167.

Authors Biography

Manoj Thakur has obtained his Bachelor's degree in Computer Science from Veermata Jijabai Technological Institute, Mumbai. He has worked on high performance and failure resilient distributed systems. He has also worked on log aggregators and analyzers. His research interests include intrusion detection systems, faulttolerant dependable systems, programming languages and algorithms. He has published other research works as well in the area of intrusion detection systems and faulttolerant systems.